```
1
           Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                         UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 2
                          EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
 4
    WANDA CONTI,
                                      . Case No. 15-40163
 5
                                       . Case No. 15-41582
    LORMAR REALTY LLC.
                                       . Brooklyn, New York
 6
                                       . Wednesday, March 9, 2016
 7
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE CARLA E. CRAIG
 8
                         UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
      {88} ORDERED, THAT PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY RULE 9006 A HEARING WITH
 9
         RESPECT TO THE RELIEF REQUESTED BY IN THE MOTION (RE: RELATED
                DOCUMENT(S) [86] MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY)
10
        [96] NOTICE OF HEARING ON DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY MARK A.
      FRANKEL ON BEHALF OF WANDA CONTI (RE: RELATED DOCUMENT(S)92 AMENDED
11
                DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED BY DEBTOR WANDA CONTI
     [5] ORDER SCHEDULING STATUS CONFERENCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING
12
      AN APPROPRIATE SCHEDULE FOR THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF THIS CASE
     [10]ORDER SCHEDULING STATUS CONFERENCE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING
      AN APPROPRIATE SCHEDULE FOR THE PROPER ADMINISTRATION OF THIS CASE.
     [47{ ORDER SCHEDULING HEARING WITH RESPECT TO THE RELIEF REQUESTED BY
14
        IN THE MOTION. OBJECTIONS TO BE FILED BY 1/18/2016 5:00 P.M. (RE:
             RELATED DOCUMENT(S)[46]MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY
15
    APPEARANCES:
16
    FOR TRUSTEE:
                                           BY: NAZAR KHODOROVSKY
17
                                           U.S. TRUSTEE
                                           201 Varick, Suite 1006
18
                                           New York, New York
19
    FOR THE DEBTOR:
                                           BACKENROTH FRANKEL & KRINSKY
                                           BY: MARK A. FRANKEL
20
                                           800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
                                           New York, New York 10022
21
     (Cont'd.)
22
    Transcription Service:
                                      Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc.
23
                                      P.O. Box 165
                                      Massena, New York 13662
                                      (315) 769-6429
24
    Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;
25
    transcript produced by transcription service.
```

Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016 1 2 FOR JV 48 LLC: RICHARD PILSON 48 Cuttermill Road Great Neck, New York 11021 3 FOR 28-05 34TH DEBT LLC: KRISS & FEUERSTEIN 4 BY: JASON LEIBOWITZ 360 Lexington Avenue 5 Suite 1200 New York, New York 10017 6 FOR THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON: DAVIDSON FINK BY: BRADLEY JOEL FUNNYE 7 28 East Main Street Rochester, New York 14614 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                  CLERK: Number 6, 7, 8 on the calendar, Wanda Conti,
 2
 3
    Case 15-40163 and Case No. 9, 10 and 11 on the calendar, Lormar
 4
    Realty, Case 15-41582.
                  Can we have appearances, please?
 5
                 MR. FUNNYE: On behalf of secured creditor
 6
     (unintelligible) Mellon in opposition to confirmation.
 7
                  THE COURT: Well, we are on --.
 8
                 MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, can I go back? Backenroth
 9
    Frankel and Krinsky, attorneys for the debtor.
10
                 MR. SILVERMAN: Matthew Silverman, Shapiro DiCaro and
11
    Barak on behalf of secured creditor, (unintelligible) Servicing and
12
13
    Wells Fargo Bank.
                 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Nazar Khodorovsky for the U.S.
14
15
    Trustee.
                 MR. PILSON: For Lennar and Pilson by Richard Pilson for
16
17
    our creditor JV 48 LLC.
                  MR. LEIBOWITZ: Good afternoon, Judge. Jason Leibowitz
18
19
    from Kriss and Feuerstein on behalf of secured creditor 28-05 34th
2.0
    DEBT LLC.
                 THE COURT: And so, Mr. Frankel, has Mr. Green arrived?
21
22
                 MR. FRANKEL: In large part, enough to proceed, I think.
23
    I sent a letter to the Court yesterday indicating that there was now
    in excess of four hundred and twenty thousand dollars in my escrow
24
```

account. And that there's two hundred and fifty thousand dollars in

Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016 1 the DIP accounts. And that gets us pretty close to the amount that 2 3 will be needed to confirm and I -- I -- I have every confidence since 4 that much money has arrived that the rest of the money will arrive in 5 time to pay claims under the plan. On that basis, we're prepared to go forward and push to 6 the confirmation hearing and -- and get everybody paid. 7 THE COURT: Okay. So are there any problems with the 8 9 Disclosure Statement at this point? MR. FRANKEL: In my opinion, the remaining problems are 10 confirmation issues. I -- I've made a couple of minor revisions and 11 there may be a couple more to be made, but the issues that I -- as I12 13 understand it that exist are Number One) with -- on the Lormar case the debtor is reserving its rights to try to go back to state court to 14 get the twenty-four percent interest rate down to nine percent or --15 or something along those lines. And that is -- and -- and counsel for 16 17 Lormar believes that that would contradict getting paid under the plan and -- and would leave them exposed, and that's an issue that I think 18 19 should be reserved for confirmation. I don't know the answer to the question. 2.0 THE COURT: Well, are they impaired -- you're not -- are 21 22

you trying to treat them as unimpaired?

MR. FRANKEL: Yes, they will be paid in full, but on -on what's allowed and if there is a dispute then the debtor is not giving up its rights to go forward with that dispute. It can't be --

23

24

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
    I mean, it probably can't be in this court because it's already a -- a
 2
 3
    state court judgment.
 4
                 But if rights exist, the debtor is not giving up those
    rights. If they don't exist, then the -- the state court or -- or
 5
    this court will -- will tell the debtor they don't exist.
 6
                 THE COURT: This is -- this is a question about whether
 7
 8
    post- judgment interest would be at -- at a default rate or at the --
    at the contract rate or the -- I mean, the judgment rate.
 9
                 MR. FRANKEL: This is -- by the way, this is a
10
    litigation that has not yet been fully vetted. And I -- I don't know
11
    exactly what the arguments are going to be, but I think that is going
12
13
    to be prominent among them when counsel is retained to do that.
                 THE COURT: Okay. So why would you want to -- why do
14
15
    you want to do that in state court?
                 MR. FRANKEL: Well, it may be in this court, it may be
16
17
    in state court. It -- it's a state court judgment. I don't know that
    this court has the jurisdiction over it, but, again, that will be
18
19
    something that --.
                 THE COURT: It's part of the claims allowance process,
2.0
    isn't it?
21
22
                 MR. FRANKEL: It -- it -- it -- it may very well
23
    be and if it is, the plan provides to escrow for everything.
                 THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah, so what's the problem?
24
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

6

Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016

MR. LEIBOWITZ: The problem is that until three minutes ago, I did not have any idea what the reservation of rights was that the debtor put in the amended plan. It doesn't disclose that the issue is about interest. It doesn't say anything, and regardless of how many times I was inquiring about what this litigation was, it -there was no disclosure about it. So what -- what the secure creditor indicated in his objection to the approval of the Disclosure Statement is that -- is that we've obtained a judgment of foreclosure and sale, which happened in 2015. There was no appeal by the debtor on account of this judgment of foreclosure and sale. The time to appeal has elapsed. The debtor's suggesting that one) it should be in a position to pay us a hundred percent of our claim, yet go back and litigate with us and if that happens, well then our -- the amount of our claim isn't what they're paying because we have the -- they -- they've being litigating with us for years. They want to continue to litigate with us in state court, not here. And then they want to be able to say well we're going to reserve, at least Mr. Frankel was telling me today that they want to have the ability to reserve an additional amount to cover the cost of litigation because that could increase amounts owed to the secured creditor.

THE COURT: Why shouldn't they be -- I mean, this -this is no different as far as I can see than saying I'm going to pay
your claim, but I -- but we're going to litigate, you know, post

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
    confirmation, the claim amount. And as long as you reserve the full
 2
 3
    amount that's -- that's sought, I don't see a problem with that.
 4
                  MR. LEIBOWITZ: Because the amount grows, Judge. Let's
    say we're owed ten dollars today and then they litigate with us for
 5
    the next five years like the last time --.
 6
                  THE COURT: So I guess that would go to the amount that
 7
 8
    would have to be reserved.
                  MR. LEIBOWITZ: Exactly. So unless I -- I'm not sure
 9
10
    why it makes more sense to go back to the state court or why or how
    the debtor could figure out how much it's going to cost to put it in
11
    reserve to continue to litigate us.
12
                  THE COURT: I will -- I'm -- I -- I think that I can
13
    decide what's a reasonable amount to put in reserve.
14
15
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Okay.
                  THE COURT: I -- this doesn't seem to me to be an
16
17
    insuperable obstacle to confirming a plan. The fact that they want to
    preserve -- this happens all the time that -- that -- that claims --
18
19
    the claims allowance process -- not usually secured claims, but the
    claims allowance process happens after the plan's been confirmed.
2.0
                  MR. LEIBOWITZ: Okay. Well, then -- then we --.
21
22
                  THE COURT: Doesn't it?
23
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: It does.
                  THE COURT: Okay. So what's the big problem?
24
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Well, the -- the big problem was -- was
 2
 3
    one) that there's no amount that we were aware of that the debtor's
 4
    intending on reserving. Two) --
                 THE COURT: Is that -- is that not in the disclosure --
 5
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: It's not.
 6
                 THE COURT: -- referenced in the disclosure statement
 7
 8
    anywhere?
                 MR. FRANKEL: No, Your Honor. We put in what the proof
 9
    of claim was. If the lender will tell us what -- we will figure it
10
    out. That's a confirmation issue.
11
                 THE COURT: Okay.
12
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: The -- the other issues are that the
13
    debtor is seeking a release from us that we -- I mean, if we're going
14
15
    to continue to have to -- if -- if the debtor is going to keep
    litigating with us and --.
16
17
                 THE COURT: Wait. So there's a release where -- you
    mean -- you mean -- you mean the plan -- the discharge that arises
18
19
    under *1141, is that what you're talking about?
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Yes. The debtor is saying that they --
2.0
    they are -- should be entitled to a release.
21
22
                 THE COURT: Well, they would be entitled to a release.
23
    They would still have to pay you whatever you're owed.
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: After we're paid then --
24
```

THE COURT: Yeah.

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: -- then it should be a non-issue.
 2
                 THE COURT: Right. But the way it would -- could --
 3
 4
    would work is the release would, I think be -- is the release
    effective upon confirmation or consummation? But either way --
 5
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: It looks like --
 6
                 THE COURT: -- you're entitled to be paid whatever the
 7
 8
    plan provides.
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Right. And so what -- what -- where
 9
    that becomes problematic is if -- if the debtor reserves an adequate
10
    sum to cover the additional cost of litigating, then it becomes a non-
11
    issue. But -- but there was no disclosure as to that.
12
13
                 THE COURT: Okay. So this is then -- it becomes a
    confirmation issue; correct? This is a confirmation issue?
14
15
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Conceivably, yes, Judge.
16
                 THE COURT: Own -- but I don't see it as a disclosure
17
    issue.
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Well, it -- it -- it was before today
18
19
    because I had no idea or knowledge of what the debtor is trying to
    litigate with us over. The disclosure statement had -- it just added
2.0
21
    one line that said, and the debtor reserve its rights to continue to
22
    litigate with us.
23
                 Well, okay, I think we're entitled to at least have an
24
    idea of what this litigation is about, which we only learned a -- a
```

few minutes ago.

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 THE COURT: So are you going to amend the disclosure
 2
 3
    statement to flesh that out?
 4
                 MR. FRANKEL: Well, Judge, I normally don't flesh out
    objections to claims in the disclosure statement.
 5
                 THE COURT: I don't know why you would need to,
 6
    honestly. Unless the -- unless other parties needed disclosure.
 7
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yeah, but then I -- if I do it for one, I
 8
    have to do it for everybody and quite frankly we don't know the claims
 9
    analysis has not been completed. We don't know -- we can't bind
10
    ourselves to -- to anything until -- until that time.
11
                 THE COURT: So yeah, so -- so I guess confirmation is
12
13
    going to depend on whether you -- making sure that you have enough
    money to cover all the claims as filed. Okay. All right. To the
14
15
    extent you have any -- do you have any other thing you want to bring
    up in terms of the disclosure statement?
16
17
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Well, the -- the other issues that we
    had raised are that there was -- there was some questions as to how
18
19
    the transaction would occur because there was some questions that were
    presented by way the term sheet, which had expired with respect -- so
2.0
21
    the -- the debtor presented a term sheet. I -- Mr. Frankel had sent
22
    me something yesterday. I -- I haven't had a chance to review it.
23
                 THE COURT: Aren't you just curing and reinstating?
24
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 MR. FRANKEL: Not on the loans to -- on this one getting
 2
 3
    paid off from a refinance -- not a refinance -- a sale to the debtor's
 4
    daughter.
                 THE COURT: So they're getting paid, but they're getting
 5
    paid the full amount of their claim; correct?
 6
 7
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yes. Based upon a refinancing in the
 8
    disclosure statement pointed out which is true that the commitment
    letter only went until the end of January. The debtor -- Ms. Conti
 9
    brought with her today a letter from the lender agreeing to go, I
10
    believe, until the end of April for another five-thousand-dollar
11
    commitment fee. And once that's paid, we'll have the full -- the --
12
    the letter says we will get full documentation. And at that point, we
13
    can be a little more specific about the terms of the loan. But at
14
15
    this point, we've put in the disclosure statement everything we have.
                 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that you want
16
17
    to bring up?
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: I think that's the main points, Judge.
18
19
                 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not seeing any disclosure issues
2.0
    here.
21
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: That -- that -- that was the issue we
22
    had. If -- a lot of the questions were answered today.
23
                  THE COURT: Okay. So I'm respectfully overruling your
    objections to the disclosure statement. Anything else?
24
                 Anybody else have anything?
25
```

Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016 1 MR. LEIBOWITZ: There was one other objection that we 2 3 filed in connection with the Conti bankruptcy, which was that although 4 Ms. Conti guaranteed our loan, the plan -- the disclosure statement, rather, didn't disclose that -- I think it only disclosed about ten 5 thousand dollars' worth of unsecured debt. Ms. Conti is also 6 obligated on account of our obligation --. 7 THE COURT: Except that she -- you're going to be paid 8 under the -- aren't the -- aren't these being confirmed together? Are 9 these being confirmed in some kind of joint way? 10 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, Judge. And I know Mr. Leibowitz has 11 been running around doing all kinds of things and hasn't had a chance 12 13 to review the revisions that I sent to the Court yesterday. But what I put in there to try to resolve this was that in the unsecured 14 15 creditor's section I added a sentence that says, 28-05 *34th Debt LLC, asserts a claim against a debtor arising from a guarantee of its 16 17 secured claim, the 28-05 claim against Lormar Realty, LLC. Lormar Realty is an affiliated debtor and I put in the case number. Lormar's 18 19 Chapter 11 plan is being heard contemporaneously with the debtor's plan. Pursuant -- payment of the 28-05 claim under the Lormar plan 2.0 21 will cover the 28-05 claim in this case. Conversely, nonpayment of 22 the 28-05 claim under the Lormar plan will entitle 28-05 to assert its 23 quaranteed claim in this case.

MR. LEIBOWITZ: Okay.

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So is that it, then?

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: I think so, Judge.
 2
                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else have
 3
 4
    anything?
                 MR. PILSON: I'd just like to reiterate what my counsel
 5
    -- what my co-counsel -- not my co-counsel -- my colleague said about
 6
    the mortgage that he's holding clearly is almost the same identical
 7
    situation with the one that my client has. And I don't want to repeat
 8
    his arguments, but we have the same ones.
 9
                 THE COURT: It's -- this -- so your claim's being paid
10
    off in full, but -- and you need -- escrow needs --
11
                 MR. PILSON: We -- our judgment, your Honor, calls for
12
13
    interest at the -- the rate set forth in the mortgage documents which
    is the default rate of interest of twenty-four percent and in his
14
15
    disclosure -- in his disclosure plan he underscored the amount that we
    feel is due by about three-hundred-something-thousand dollars by --
16
17
    now he just said approximate, so he didn't, like, lock himself into
    that -- to that amount, but we have a claim for -- as per our notice
18
19
    of claim for considerably more than that.
                 THE COURT: Okay. You're trying to treat them as
20
    unimpaired?
21
22
                 MR. FRANKEL: Judge, the plan provides for payment in
23
    full. I used the number for their claim from the proof of claim. I
24
    did not update it to include the post-petition spread between contract
    rate and default rate. I've asked -- and -- and I'm sure I'll get it
25
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
    shortly -- for an updated payoff number. They have to be paid in
 2
 3
    full. It will be paid in full with the same reservation of rights to
 4
    object to the claim and -- just as we've discussed with the other one.
                 THE COURT: All right. Okay. So you're saying -- I
 5
    see. And this -- this may be true to the other judgment too that it -
 6
     - the judgment itself provides for post-judgment interest at twenty --
 7
 8
    at the higher rate, not at the judgment rate?
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yeah, it's a little more difficult with
 9
10
    the -- this one because this one you have to look it up in the note
    and mortgage and -- and it does appear that there is a -- a post-
11
    judgment rate in the note which would -- which could apply. I don't
12
13
    know exactly whether there's a -- a basis, you know, how strong a
    basis there is to object to that. Again, that'll probably be done by
14
15
    separate counsel.
                 THE COURT: Okay. It would show up to escrow for that?
16
17
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, and -- and -- and the debtor's
    consulting with the state court foreclosure counsel, I believe, on --
18
19
    on prosecuting any such --
                 THE COURT: Okay.
20
                 MR. FRANKEL: -- objection.
21
22
                 THE COURT: Okay.
23
                 MR. PILSON: Again, like -- like my colleague said, our
    judgment was entered in 2013 and through various machinations they
24
    were able to keep it from going to foreclosure sale. We had --
25
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
    finally had a date. It was the day -- right -- the same day they
 2
 3
    filed the bankruptcy, which stopped the -- which stopped the sale.
 4
                  And our -- you know, our note provides -- does provide
    for the twenty-four percent, and we expect to get it. But he -- when
 5
    he put forth his disclosure plan, I did not see the amendment one --
 6
    amended one. He put in a figure of a hundred -- of one million one
 7
 8
    fifteen. Our notice of claim was already over a million three at the
    time we filed in May of 2015. And since then the interest has been
 9
    running at a per diem of I think four forty-six ninety-nine per day
10
    minus whatever adequate protection payment she may have made. I --
11
    I'm -- I'm guessing it's probably between thirty-five to forty
12
13
    thousand dollars, somewhere in that range.
                  THE COURT: Okay. So in order to confirm this plan,
14
    you're going to have to have the money available to satisfy the claim.
15
                  MR. FRANKEL: Yes, and the treatment of the \operatorname{--} of their
16
17
    claim says payment in full, in cash, with interest as provided in the
18
    judgment.
19
                  THE COURT: Okay. So what I expect to see at
    confirmation is an itemized list of what it is you're paying and proof
20
    of -- that you have all that money.
21
22
                  MR. FRANKEL: Yes, that would be part of the
23
    confirmation affidavit.
24
                  THE COURT: Right. Okay. So the -- the parties here
    can see how much you're planning on paying them.
25
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                  MR. FRANKEL: Yes, and I've asked for payoff letters so
 2
 3
    that I can send them to the C.P.A. --
 4
                  THE COURT: Okay.
                 MR. FRANKEL: -- and make sure that we're all on the
 5
    same page about what the ostensible amount is, the real amount, the
 6
    objection amount, every -- everything.
 7
                  THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on this?
 8
                  MR. FRANKEL: There was an objection to confirmation
 9
10
    filed which, you know, from a lender who, again, is -- is going to be
    reinstated and we'll deal with whatever -- I couldn't really figure
11
    out exactly what the objection was, but it's going to be a
12
13
    reinstatement and we'll figure out the amount if it's not agreed upon.
                 THE COURT: Okay.
14
15
                  MR. FUNNYE: Your Honor, just speaking to that. It was
    -- the current principal balance of $474,595.30, and as per the plan
16
17
    it was stated, I believe, unless it's amended subsequent to that, that
    we were to be -- supposed to be paid as they came due and we haven't
18
19
    received any payment since, I believe -- I'm going back to when the
    claim was filed, January 15th, 2015, so.
2.0
                  THE COURT: Okay.
21
22
                 MR. FUNNYE: They say it's coming, check is in the mail,
23
    so -- but at this juncture --.
24
                  THE COURT: But -- so whatever you're owed is -- is --
    in that interim period is being added to the amount of the claim.
25
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 MR. FUNNYE: It's accruing, yes.
 2
                  THE COURT: And so it will have to be paid at
 3
 4
    confirmation to -- in order to reinstate; right?
                 MR. FUNNYE: We would hope, but I'm just saying at this
 5
    juncture -- we're just stating -- this is why we're objecting.
 6
                  THE COURT: Okay. This is why, especially given the
 7
 8
    difficulties that have existed in -- in making sure that we have the
    added -- the -- the funding, I'm going to be -- like I -- like I said,
 9
    I'm going to want to see for each claim exactly how much you're going
10
    to pay and proof that you've got it.
11
                 MR. FRANKEL: I do that in every confirmation, your
12
13
    Honor.
                  THE COURT: And if -- and if you don't -- if you don't
14
15
    have that, I'm not confirming the plan and I'm not going to just defer
    -- I will deny -- denying confirmation and this case can be converted,
16
17
    dismissed, whatever.
                  But we're not -- we're not stringing this out anymore,
18
19
    Ms. Conti, you should realize that. So you -- you got to have the
    money available at confirmation. Okay. Is there anything else? That
2.0
21
    seems to be the -- the sum and substance of the concerns here, but --
22
    of the -- of the lenders, really --
23
                  MR. FRANKEL: Yes.
                  THE COURT: -- is to make sure the money's available.
24
                 MR. FRANKEL: Exactly.
25
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
 2
 3
                 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Your Honor, just briefly on status.
 4
    The debtor owes -- the individual debtor, Ms. Conti, owes quarterly
    fees for a portion of the fourth quarter 2015. That's -- that's all I
 5
    wanted to say on that. Thank you.
 6
                 THE COURT: Okay. Yes?
 7
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: I -- I guess two -- two final points,
 8
    Judge.
 9
10
                 One is that we -- we seem to have some -- some payment
    issues with respect to the conditional order that was entered, but
11
    we're trying to work it out so Mr. Frankel has provided me with two
12
13
    payments today.
                 THE COURT: So you're not getting payment on your
14
15
    conditional orders?
                 MR. FRANKEL: Correct, Judge. We -- we've
16
17
    provided Mr. Frankel's office with notice that we were due for the
    February and March payment.
18
19
                 THE COURT: This does -- this does not bode well.
                 MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, I -- I -- I dispute -- the
2.0
    debtor, Ms. Conti, is here. She disputes that she sent -- says that
21
22
    checks were hand delivered to Kriss and Feuerstein and were never
23
    cashed, so we have issued new checks and we're going to stop payment
    on the old ones. And in the future -- and we will produce, if we can,
24
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
    she says that they were signed for when they were delivered and we'll
 2
 3
    work it out with Mr. Leibowitz.
 4
                  But there has been an effort made to pay -- and I think
    there must be a mix up of some sort, but we're going to locate the --
 5
    the signed receipts and -- and pay anyway. Pay double if it's -- not
 6
    pay double, but stop payment on the ones that weren't cashed. There
 7
 8
    are checks that were issued that I -- I think there's a note that I
    got from the managing agent that they issued checks and they weren't
 9
    cashed, so -- so if it's a mix up, fine, but it's -- it does not
10
    appear to be a situation of -- of defaulting under the stipulation.
11
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: We -- we look forward to getting to the
12
13
    bottom of that then.
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right.
14
15
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: And then -- I -- I guess the final point
    would be just we -- we look forward to setting a date for
16
17
    confirmation.
                  THE COURT: Are we doing April 20 for confirmation?
18
19
    Does that work?
                 MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, I need a -- an interim date.
20
    There were some relatively -- there's some credit card claims and
21
22
    another claim that --.
23
                  THE COURT: For claims objections?
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yeah.
24
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 THE COURT: A date -- an interim date for claim
 2
 3
    objections?
 4
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yeah, and then -- and then the
 5
    confirmation after that.
                 THE COURT: Okay. So I'll give you April 20 for the
 6
 7
    claims objections.
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: April 20th at what time, your Honor?
 8
                 THE COURT: Correct. Is that okay. Just one sec -- one
 9
10
    second. One second.
                 Is that -- is that correct? I've got that right? Okay.
11
    All right. April 20th at two thirty. So -- and I'll give you another
12
    date for confirmation.
13
                 THE CLERK: May 9th.
14
15
                 THE COURT: It's going to have to be -- I think the 18th
    and the 25th are no good, so it has to be the 11th of May or the --.
16
17
                 THE CLERK: Fifth of May.
                 THE COURT: May 11th. Okay, May 11th for confirmation.
18
19
                 THE CLERK: At two
                 THE COURT: At two o'clock.
2.0
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Your Honor, could I respectfully request
21
22
    that the status conference be carried to the April 20th day?
23
                 THE COURT: Of course.
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.
24
```

```
Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016
 1
                 THE COURT: So submit your disclosure statement approval
 2
 3
    orders, if you would.
 4
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yes.
                 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Yeah, shall we carry your
 5
    withdrawal motion?
 6
 7
                 MR. FRANKEL: Yes, please.
 8
                 THE COURT: Okay. So that'll be marked over to the 20th
    of April.
 9
                 MR. FRANKEL: Thank you, your Honor.
10
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Judge, Mr. Khodorovsky just raised an
11
    interesting question that would -- would probably be good to address
12
13
    now.
                 The motion to withdraw is -- is being carried then?
14
15
                 THE COURT: Correct.
16
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Okay.
17
                 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Thank you. To the -- to the 20th
    date?
18
19
                 THE COURT: Correct.
2.0
                 MR. KHODOROVSKY: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
                 THE COURT: I assume that what we're going to do is
22
    continue to carry it until plan's confirmed and it becomes moot.
23
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.
                 MR. KHODORVSKY: Thank you, Judge.
24
                 MR. LEIBOWITZ: Okay.
25
```

Wanda Conti - Lomar Realty - 15-40163 15-41582 - 3-9-2016 THE COURT: Unless Mr. Frankel wants me to mark it off at any point in time, but I will -- I leave that to him to make that determination. MR. FRANKEL: Okay. THE COURT: Okay. MR. LEIBOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. tadie O. Sprigs Judith Spriggs 31 March, 2016 Transcriptionist